IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Henry Kevin Grant, #374660,) C/A No.: 6:17-2391-BHH
Plair	ntiff,)
vs.	ORDER AND OPINION
State of South Carolina, Laurens Police Department, P.T.L. Heidi Blackstock, Prosecuting Officer Dillon Sherfield,))))
Defenda	nnts.)

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Jacqueline D. Austin made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. On February 5, 2018, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the District Court dismiss the above-captioned case without prejudice and without service of process. (ECF No. 21.)

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court may also receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. Id. The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made.

Plaintiff filed no objections and the time for doing so expired on February 23,

2018. In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, this Court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in

the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo

review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins.

Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 and advisory

committee's note).

Here, because no objections have been filed, the Court has reviewed the

Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations for clear error. Finding none, the

Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that Plaintiff's claims against Defendants are

subject to summary dismissal.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is adopted and incorporated

herein by reference, and this action is DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/Bruce Howe Hendricks</u> United States District Judge

March 1, 2018 Greenville, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.